**MAY 5, 2008** MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

RECEIVED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT, CIVIL ACTION NO. 08CV2027 udge SHADUR udge ASHMan Marinted Complaint

### COMPLAINT OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION

1. This is an action for employment discrimination.	
2. The plaintiff is Dorothy Murfhy of the	he
county of Cook in the state of TC.	
3. The defendant is Fair mont Care Center, who	ose
street address is 5061 N. Paylaski,	
(city) ( 45 cago (county) ( 00K (state) 7 ( (ZIP) 60630	ŀ
(Defendant's telephone number) (27) - 604 - 8//2	
4. The plaintiff sought employment or was employed by the defendant at (street address)	
5061 N. Pulaski (city) Chi cago	
(county) COOK (state) ZC (ZIP code) 60630	

- was denied employment by the defendant.
- was hired and is still employed by the defendant.
- was employed but is no longer employed by the defendant.
- 6. The defendant discriminated against the plaintiff on or about, or beginning on or about, (month) February, (day) 20, (year) 2007. (on tining on about

## 7.1 (Choose paragraph 7.1 or 7.2, do not complete both.)

(a) The defendant is not a federal governmental agency, and the plaintiff [check

one box] has not has filed a charge or charges against the defendant asserting the acts of discrimination indicated in this complaint with any of the following

government agencies:

- the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, on or about (i) (month) Murch (day) 20 (year) 2007.

(ii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(b) If charges were filed with an agency indicated above, a copy of the charge is

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(month) 100 (day) 15 (year) 2007. Fact Finking

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iiii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about

(iii) t NO, but plaintiff will file a copy of the charge within 14 days.

It is the policy of both the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Illinois Department of Human Rights to cross-file with the other agency all charges received. The plaintiff has no reason to believe that this policy was not followed in this case.

- The defendant is a federal governmental agency, and 7.2
  - (a) the plaintiff previously filed a Complaint of Employment Discrimination with the defendant asserting the acts of discrimination indicated in this court complaint.

		Yes (month) (day) (year)					
		No, did not file Complaint of Employment Discrimination					
	(b) The plaintiff received a Final Agency Decision on (month)						
		(day)(year)					
	(c)	Attached is a copy of the					
	(i) Complaint of Employment Discrimination,						
		X YES NO, but a copy will be filed within 14 days.					
		(ii) Final Agency Decision					
		YES NO, but a copy will be filed within 14 days.					
8.	(Com	plete paragraph 8 only if defendant is not a federal governmental agency.)					
	(a)	the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has not issued					
		a Notice of Right to Sue.					
	(p)	the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has issued a					
		Notice of Right to Sue, which was received by the plaintiff on					
		(month) FC burgey (day) 8 (year) 2008 a copy of which but Z					
		Notice is attached to this complaint.  The fireform  February  15, 7008					
9.	The	defendant discriminated against the plaintiff because of the plaintiff's [check only					
	those that apply]:						
	(a)	Age (Age Discrimination Employment Act).					
	(b)	Color (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).					

	(c) Disability (Americans with Disabilities Act or Rehabilitation Act)					
	National Origin (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).					
	(e) 1	Race (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).				
	(f) I	Religion (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)				
	(g) []	Sex (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)				
10.	If the de	fendant is a state, county, municipal (city, town or village) or other local				
	governm	nental agency, plaintiff further alleges discrimination on the basis of race, color,				
	or nation	nal origin (42 U.S.C. § 1983).				
11.	Jurisdict	ion over the statutory violation alleged is conferred as follows: for Title VII				
	claims b	y 28 U.S.C.§1331, 28 U.S.C.§1343(a)(3), and 42 U.S.C.§2000e-5(f)(3); for				
	42 U.S.0	C.§1981 and §1983 by 42 U.S.C.§1988; for the A.D.E.A. by 42 U.S.C.§12117;				
	for the R	Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 791.				
12.	The defe	endant [check only those that apply]				
	(a) f	ailed to hire the plaintiff.				
	(b)	terminated the plaintiff's employment.				
	(c)	failed to promote the plaintiff.				
b,m.	(d) \( \sqrt{ -1} \)	failed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.				
	(e) 1	ailed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's disabilities.				
	(f)	failed to stop harassment;				
	(g) 🔽	retaliated against the plaintiff because the plaintiff did something to assert rights protected by the laws identified in paragraphs 9 and 10 above;				
	(h) 🔀	other (specify): Cause = went to union, Than 5475 fretorly				
	gerto	other (specify): <u>Cause &amp; went to union</u> Thave 54tys Fredorly  i mee my duties as a <u>Certified Nursiby</u> 955; stent  have been employed with Respondent since Novie, 2001				

	ented)	
70	ves full time position when a posit	10445
91/9	ilable, Juas danied to particpate Put	he Sicion
<del>U, (</del>	for Memorial Cy Fwas denited my health and welfurt Pension Plan (475 ects supporting the plaintiff's claim of discrimination are as follows:	lo; Iday
pay	for Memorialdy Twas deniend a	or perug
13. Ψ. The fa	cts supporting the plaintiff's claim of discrimination are as follows:	they kent
Fu	usin try toget logged help. Thave	eurt+tme
per	formany work or duties	, , , , ,
	tactorily as a Certified Wur	5,50
	5: Stant, and have been employed	
	thirespondent Since November 16	
F	UNS Ena Collective Bargath wing agr UNS 9(50 denicted to file 9 or the other pr. DISCRIMINATION ONLY) Defendant knowingly, intentionally, and willfully	ر چې
14. [AGE discri	<b>DISCRIMINATION ONLY</b> Defendant knowingly, intentionally, and willfully minated against the plaintiff.	class that
15. The p	laintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. YES NO	where
	EFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaintiff	request
(check d	only those that apply]	the 11 time
(a)	Direct the defendant to hire the plaintiff.	hireus
(b)	Direct the defendant to re-employ the plaintiff.	noy
(c) 🔼 .	Direct the defendant to promote the plaintiff.	Fly
(d)	Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.	FMprcs.
(e)	Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's disabilities.	Ftra
(f) 🔀	Direct the defendant to (specify): 6 they relief and	70
- <del>\hat{\hat{\hat{\hat{\hat{\hat{\hat{</del>	ant the platatiff fulltime V Such relifs that the courts eem approfiate	obtain Legal
	V Such relifs that the courts	help
/	een approfiate	955/5\$4M

U/014-c

or know that plaintiff
or know that plaintiff  quandate ematinal, debuse,  harrassment, distress, aloss
harrassment, distress, aloss
huckpay rectvoactive war other henetet lause of retalations and the employee has v
henetet (ause of retailation and the
If available, grant the plaintiff appropriate injunctive relief, lost wages, liquidated/double damages, front pay, compensatory damages, punitive damages, prejudgment interest, post-judgment interest, and costs, including reasonable attorney fees and expert witness fees.
(h) Grant such other relief as the Court may find appropriate.
(Plaintiff's signature)
(Vorolly Murphy
(Plaintiff's name)
porothy Murphy
(Plaintiff's street address)
5310WI Worthquenyettz

Maling-Chicago F 60639

address Ros Box F (0817

(City) (h: cag (State) F 60610

(Plaintiff's telephone number) (712) - 544-6884

Date: 4-29-05

Page 7 of 14

(Date Mailed)

EEOC Fore	n 161-B (3/96)	U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPO	RTUNITY (	COMMISSION	/ ************************************
•	<u> </u>	NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (15	SUED <sub>,</sub> O	N REQUEST)	
53	orothy M. Murphy 110 W North Ave #2 nicago, IL 60630	•	From:	Chicago District Office 500 West Madison St Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60661	
CE	ERTIFIED MAIL 7099 3400	0014 4054 3157		_	
	On behalf of person(s) eg CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFF				
EEOC C	harge No.	EEOC Representative		Telepho	one No.
2412 20	07-01962	Armernola P. Smith, State & Local Coordin	ator	(312)	886-5973
216-20	107-01902	Otato & Local Coolant		the additional information enclos	
NOTICE TO	O THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:		,		,
under Tit the ADA	tie VII and/or the ADA based must be filed in a federal will be lost. (The time limit fo	1964 and/or the Americans with Disa if on the above-numbered charge. It has or state court WITHIN 90 DAYS of y rilling suit based on a state claim may be passed since the filing of this charge.	is been issi our recelp be different	ued at your request. Your lawsu ot of this notice; or your right to	uit under Title VII or
	Less than 180 days ha	ave passed since the filing of this charge administrative processing within 180 de	je, but I hav	ve determined that it is unlikely t e filing of this charge.	that the EEOC will
Х	•	ng its processing of this charge.	.,,		
	The EEOC will continu	e to process this charge.			
Age Dise 90 days your cas	after you receive notice that	t Act (ADEA): You may sue under the twe have completed action on the cha	ADEA at ar rge. In this	ny time from 60 days after the chair segard, the paragraph marked	narge was filed until d below applies to
X	The EEOC is closing v	your case. Therefore, your lawsuit underlipt of this Notice. Otherwise, your rig	er the ADE/ ht to sue ba	A must be filed in federal or st ased on the above-numbered ch	ate court <u>WITHIN</u> arge will be lost.
	The EEOC is continuing you may file suit in fed	ng its handling of your ADEA case. Ho eral or state court under the ADEA at th	wever, if 6 is time.	0 days have passed since the fi	ling of the charge,
in federa	l or state court within 2 year	nave the right to sue under the EPA (filins (3 years for willful violations) of the atthan 2 years (3 years) before you file	leged EPA	underpayment. This means that	iits must be brought it backpay due for
lf you file	suit, based on this charge, p	lease send a copy of your court complai	nt to this off	fice.	
		On behalt	of the Con	nmission	~\$
		John P Power		218	3/2008

John P. Rowe, District Director

FAIRMONT CARE CENTER

Enclosures(s)

cc:

NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF ILLINOIS MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:09/21/07

**NOTARY SEAL** 

I deciare under penalty that the foregoing is true and colvect I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief Complainant:

Dorothy Mae Murphy

Charge Number: 2007CA3443

Page 2

- 3. Beginning on or about February 20, 2007 and continuing through June 15, 2007, I have experienced unequal terms and conditions of employment from Lynette (last name unknown; black), Respondent's Director of Nursing. Lynette has placed me under unequal terms and conditions of employment by denying me the opportunity to work overtime hours; by failing to give me the opportunity to become a full time instead of as a part time employee; by not allowing me to work enough hours to qualify for vacation and other benefits, and by engaging in other actions which I believe are unequal terms and conditions of employment. No documentation has been received from Lynette or any other member of Respondent's management which validates their reason for the unequal terms and conditions of employment.
- I believe that there have been other, similarly situated, non-black certified nursing assistants, such as Katrina Carlos, Terecita Agustin, and others, that are having their issues addressed by management, and are not being harassed in the workplace by their co-workers as I have been.

#### A. ISSUE/BASIS H.

UNEQUAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT, BEGINNING ON OR ABOUT FEBRUARY 20, 2007 AND CONTINUING THROUGH JUNE 15, 2007 BECAUSE OF MY AGE, 45.\

# B. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS

- 1. I am 45 years of age.
- 2. I have satisfactorily performed my duties as a Certified Nursing Assistant, and have been employed with Respondent since November 16,  $\overline{2006}$ .
- 3. Beginning on or about February 20, 2007 and continuing through June 15, 2007, I have experienced unequal terms and conditions of employment from Lynette (last name unknown; 30's), Respondent's Director of Nursing. Lynette has placed me under unequal terms and conditions of employment by denying me the opportunity to work overtime hours; by failing to give me the opportunity to become a full time instead of as a part time employee; by not allowing me to work enough hours to qualify for vacation and other benefits, and by engaging in other actions which I believe are unequal terms and conditions of employment. No documentation has been received from Lynette or any other member of Respondent's management which validates their reason for the unequal terms and conditions of employment.

Complainant:

**Dorothy Mae Murphy** 

Charge Number: 2007CA3443

Page 3

I believe that there have been other, similarly situated, younger certified nursing assistants, such as Katrina Carlos, Terecita Agustin, and others, that are having their issues addressed by management, and are not being harassed in the workplace by their co-workers as I have been.

#### III. A. ISSUE/BASIS

UNEQUAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT, BEGINNING ON OR ABOUT FEBRUARY 20, 2007 AND CONTINUING THROUGH JUNE 15, 2007 BECAUSE OF MY NATIONAL ORIGIN, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

### B. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS

- 1. My national origin is the United States of America.
- I have satisfactorily performed my duties as a Certified Nursing Assistant, 2. and have been employed with Respondent since November 16, 2006.
- Beginning on or about February 20, 2007 and continuing through June 15, 2007, I have experienced unequal terms and conditions of employment from Lynette (last name unknown; USA), Respondent's Director of Nursing. Lynette has placed me under unequal terms and conditions of employment by denying me the opportunity to work overtime hours; by failing to give me the opportunity to become a full time instead of as a part time employee; by not allowing me to work enough hours to qualify for vacation and other benefits, and by engaging in other actions which I believe are unequal terms and conditions of employment. No documentation has been received from Lynette or any other member of Respondent's management which validates their reason for the unequal terms and conditions of employment.
- I believe that there have been other, similarly situated, non-USA certified nursing assistants, such as Katrina Carlos, Terecita Agustin, and others, that are having their issues addressed by management, and are not being harassed in the workplace by their co-workers as I have been.

(Continued)

Complainant:

**Dorothy Mae Murphy** 

Charge Number: 2007CA3443

Page 4

#### A. ISSUE/BASIS IV.

UNEQUAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT, BEGINNING ON OR ABOUT FEBRUARY 20, 2007 AND CONTINUING THROUGH JUNE 15, 2007 BECAUSE OF MY PHYSICAL HANDICAP, MOBILITY DISORDER (KNEE INJURY).

Filed 05/05/2008

### PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS

- I am handicapped within the meaning of Section 1-103(I) of the Human 1. Rights Act.
- Respondent was aware of my condition. 2.
- Beginning on or about February 20, 2007 and continuing through June 3. 15, 2007, I have experienced unequal terms and conditions of employment from Lynette (last name unknown; non-handicapped), Respondent's Director of Nursing. Lynette has placed me under unequal terms and conditions of employment by denying me the opportunity to work overtime hours; by failing to give me the opportunity to become a full time instead of as a part time employee; by not allowing me to work enough hours to qualify for vacation and other benefits, and by engaging in other actions which I believe are unequal terms and conditions of employment. No documentation has been received from Lynette or any other member of Respondent's management which validates their reason for the unequal terms and conditions of employment.
- I believe that there have been other, similarly situated, non-handicapped certified nursing assistants, such as Katrina Carlos, Terecita Agustin, and others, that are having their issues addressed by management, and are not being harassed in the workplace by their co-workers as I have been.
- My handicapping condition does not prohibit me from performing the essential duties of my job, with reasonable accommodations.

#### ISSUE/BASIS $V_{-}$

DENIED HOLIDAY PAY, ON OR ABOUT JUNE 21, 2007, BECAUSE OF MY RACE, BLACK.

(Continued)

Complainant: Dorothy Mae Murphy

Charge Number: 2007CA3443

Page 5

# B. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS

1. My race is black.

- 2. I have satisfactorily performed my duties as a Certified Nursing Assistant, and have been employed with Respondent since November 16, 2006.
- 3. On or about June 21, 2007, I discovered that I was being denied holiday pay for the Memorial Day holiday. The holiday pay was not included with my check. No reason was given from the Respondent as to why I was being denied the holiday pay. No documentation has been received from any member of Respondent's management which validates their reason for the denial of holiday pay.
- 4. I believe that there have been other, similarly situated, non-black certified nursing assistants, such as Katrina Carlos, Terecita Agustin, and others, that have been issued holiday pay wages which I was denied.

### VI. A. ISSUE/BASIS

DENIED HOLIDAY PAY, ON OR ABOUT JUNE 21, 2007, BECAUSE OF MY AGE, 45.

# B. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS

- 1. I am 45 years of age.
- I have satisfactorily performed my duties as a Certified Nursing Assistant, and have been employed with Respondent since November 16, 2006.
- 3. On or about June 21, 2007, I discovered that I was being denied holiday pay for the Memorial Day holiday. The holiday pay was not included with my check. No reason was given from the Respondent as to why I was being denied the holiday pay. No documentation has been received from any member of Respondent's management which validates their reason for the denial of holiday pay.
- 4. I believe that there have been other, similarly situated, younger certified nursing assistants, such as Katrina Carlos, Terecita Agustin, and others, that have been issued holiday pay wages which I was denied.

(Continued)

Complainant: Dorothy Mae Murphy

Charge Number: 2007CA3443

Page 6

### VII. A. ISSUE/BASIS

DENIED HOLIDAY PAY, ON OR ABOUT JUNE 21, 2007, BECAUSE OF MY NATIONAL ORIGIN, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

### B. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS

- 1. My national origin is the United States of America.
- 2. I have satisfactorily performed my duties as a Certified Nursing Assistant, and have been employed with Respondent since November 16, 2006.
- 3. On or about June 21, 2007, I discovered that I was being denied holiday pay for the Memorial Day holiday. The holiday pay was not included with my check. No reason was given from the Respondent as to why I was being denied the holiday pay. No documentation has been received from any member of Respondent's management which validates their reason for the denial of holiday pay.
- I believe that there have been other, similarly situated, non-USA certified nursing assistants, such as Katrina Carlos, Terecita Agustin, and others, that have been issued holiday pay wages which I was denied.

### VIII., A. ISSUE/BASIS

DENIED HOLIDAY PAY, ON OR ABOUT JUNE 21, 2007, BECAUSE OF MY PHYSICAL HANDICAP, MOBILITY IMPAIRMENT (KNEE INJURY).

### B. PRIMA FACIE ALLEGATIONS

- 1. I am handicapped within the meaning of Section 1-103(I) of the Human Rights Act.
- Respondent was aware of my condition.
- 3. On or about June 21, 2007, I discovered that I was being denied holiday pay for the Memorial Day holiday. The holiday pay was not included with my check. No reason was given from the Respondent as to why I was being denied the holiday pay. No documentation has been received from any member of Respondent's management which validates their reason for the denial of holiday pay.

Complainant: Dorothy Mae Murphy Charge Number: 2007CA3443

Page 7

4. I believe that there have been other, similarly situated, non-handicapped certified nursing assistants, such as Katrina Carlos, Terecita Agustin, and others, that have been issued holiday pay wages which I was denied.

5. My handicapping condition does not prohibit me from performing the essential duties of my job, with reasonable accommodations.